ESTTA Tracking number:

ESTTA448818 12/29/2011

Filing date:

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

Proceeding	91202705
Party	Defendant Grand Canyon Ranch
Correspondence Address	H JOHN RIZVI GOLD & RIZVI PA 11575 HERON BAY BLVD, SUITE 309 CORAL SPRINGS, FL 33076 UNITED STATES johnrizvi@ideaattorneys.com
Submission	Answer
Filer's Name	Thomas I. Rozsa
Filer's e-mail	amy@rozsalaw.com
Signature	/Thomas I. Rozsa/
Date	12/29/2011
Attachments	Answer.GCRat Spirit.pdf (9 pages)(276133 bytes)

ROZSA LAW GROUP LC

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

In the matter of the Trademark Application Serial No. 77/905,088 for "GRAND CANYON RANCH AT SPIRIT MOUNTAIN" published in the Official Gazette on July 26, 2011

Opposition No.: 91202705

ANSWER TO NOTICE OF OPPOSITION

CR LICENSE, LLC	
)
)
Opposer,)
)
VS.)
)
GRAND CANYON RANCH, LLC	
)
Applicant.)
***************************************	_)

Commissioner for Trademarks Trademark Trial and Appeal Board P.O. Box 1451 Alexandria, VA 22313-1451

ANSWER TO NOTICE OF OPPOSITION

COMES NOW Applicant, GRAND CANYON RANCH, LLC (hereafter "Applicant") by and through its attorney and pursuant to Rule 2.114 of the Trademark Rules of Practice and Rule 8(b) of the Fed. R. Civ. P., and answers the Notice of Opposition (hereafter "Opposition") filed by CR LICENSE, LLC, a company organized and existing under the laws of Arizona and having its place of business at 8600 E. Rockcliff Road, Tucson, Arizona 85750 (hereafter "Opposer"), seeking to oppose the issuance of United States Trademark Application Serial No. 77/905,088 for "GRAND" CANYON RANCH AT SPIRIT MOUNTAIN", and answers the Opposition as follows:

- 1. Answering Paragraph 1 of the Opposition, Applicant admits the allegations of said Paragraph 1 of the Opposition.
- 2. Answering Paragraph 2 of the Opposition, Applicant admits the allegations of said Paragraph 2 of the Opposition.
- 3. Answering Paragraph 3 of the Opposition, Applicant has insufficient information and belief to admit or deny the allegations contained therein and basing its denial on that ground, denies each and every, all and singular, the allegations of said Paragraph 3 of the Opposition.
- 4. Answering Paragraph 4 of the Opposition, Applicant has insufficient information and belief to admit or deny the allegations contained therein and basing its denial on that ground, denies each and every, all and singular, the allegations of said Paragraph 4 of the Opposition.
- 5. Answering Paragraph 5 of the Opposition, Applicant has insufficient information and belief to admit or deny the allegations contained therein and basing its denial on that ground, denies each and every, all and singular, allegations of said Paragraph 5 of the Opposition.
- 6. Answering Paragraph 6 of the Opposition, Applicant has insufficient information and belief to admit or deny the allegations contained therein and basing its denial on that ground, denies each and every, all and singular, the allegations of said Paragraph 6 of the Opposition.
- 7. Answering Paragraph 7 of the Opposition, Applicant has insufficient information and belief to admit or deny the allegations contained therein and basing its denial on that ground, denies each and every, all and singular, the allegations of said Paragraph 7 of the Opposition.

ROZSA LAW GROUP LC

- 8. Answering Paragraph 8 of the Opposition, Applicant has insufficient information and belief to admit or deny the allegations contained therein and basing its denial on that ground, denies each and every, all and singular, the allegations of said Paragraph 8 of the Opposition.
- 9. Answering Paragraph 9 of the Opposition, Applicant denies each and every, all and singular, the allegations of said Paragraph 9 of the Opposition.
- 10. Answering Paragraph 10 of the Opposition, Applicant denies each and every, all and singular, the allegations of said Paragraph 10 of the Opposition.
- 11. Answering Paragraph 11 of the Opposition, Applicant denies each and every, all and singular, the allegations of said Paragraph 11 of the Opposition.
- 12. Answering Paragraph 12 of the Opposition, Applicant denies each and every, all and singular, the allegations of said Paragraph 12 of the Opposition.
- 13. Answering Paragraph 13 of the Opposition, Applicant denies each and every, all and singular, the allegations of said Paragraph 13 of the Opposition.
- 14. Answering Paragraph 14 of the Opposition, Applicant admits the allegations of said Paragraph 14 of the Opposition.
- 15. Answering Paragraph 15 of the Opposition, Applicant denies each and every, all and singular, the allegations of said Paragraph 15 of the Opposition.
- 16. Answering Paragraph 16 of the Opposition, Applicant denies each and every, all and singular, the allegations of said Paragraph 16 of the Opposition.
- 17. Answering Paragraph 17 of the Opposition, Applicant denies each and every, all and singular, the allegations of said Paragraph 17 of the Opposition.
- 18. Answering Paragraph 18 of the Opposition, Applicant denies each and every, all and singular, the allegations of said Paragraph 18 of the Opposition.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- 19. Answering Paragraph 19 of the Opposition, Applicant denies each and every, all and singular, the allegations of said Paragraph 19 of the Opposition.
- 20. Answering Paragraph 20 of the Opposition, Applicant denies each and every, all and singular, the allegations of said Paragraph 20 of the Opposition.
- Answering Paragraph 21 of the Opposition, Applicant denies each and 21, every, all and singular, the allegations of said Paragraph 21 of the Opposition.
- 22. Answering Paragraph 22 of the Opposition, Applicant denies each and every, all and singular, the allegations of said Paragraph 22 of the Opposition.
- 23. Answering Paragraph 23 of the Opposition, Applicant denies each and every, all and singular, the allegations of said Paragraph 23 of the Opposition.
- 24. Answering Paragraph 24 of the Opposition, Applicant denies each and every, all and singular, the allegations of said Paragraph 24 of the Opposition.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

25. As a first, separate and distinct affirmative defense to the Opposition and each claim stated therein, Applicant states that its entire mark is GRAND CANYON RANCH AT SPIRIT MOUNTAIN and the very distinctive design which consists of the wording GRAND CANYON RANCH AT SPIRIT MOUNTAIN in white with a stylized capital "R" in the word "RANCH" and the design of the "Grand Canyon" in the background in the colors light brown and black. To the left of the "Grand Canyon" is a metallic gray and black plate with the design of the "Grand Canyon" and plant designs and a symbol of a diamond shape with two horizontal bars affixed to the diamond, one extending away from the diamond with a slanted vertical bar intersecting the far end of

the horizontal bar at an angle. Such a distinctive design clearly differentiates the Applicant's mark from the Opposer's mark and clearly there would be no likelihood of confusion between the two marks.

SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

26. As a second, separate and distinct affirmative defense to the Petition and each claim stated therein, Applicant states that it had to disclaim the exclusive right to use the descriptive phrase "GRAND CANYON RANCH". Therefore, "GRAND CANYON RANCH" is descriptive and it is the entire mark, with the design as set forth in the first affirmative defense, that is what has been registered and therefore it is totally distinct and distinctive from Opposer's marks.

THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

27. As a third, separate and distinct affirmative defense to the Opposition and each claim stated therein, Applicant states that it is essentially a provider of excursion arrangements for tourists in the Grand Canyon and recreational services in the nature of horse drawn wagon rides, horseback riding and helicopter tours, hiking and mountain biking, and providing temporary accommodations in association with these services. The services provided by the Opposer have nothing whatsoever to do with these same services except that they provide food and lodging services but Opposer's marks are primarily in the nature of spa services and health and fitness services. Therefore, the services provided by the Applicant and the Opposer are totally different.

FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

28. As a fourth, separate and distinct affirmative defense to the Opposition and each claim stated therein, Applicant states that the customer base of the Applicant are enthusiastic and energetic individuals who are seeking excitement through excursion arrangements including horseback rides, wagon rides and helicopter tours of the Grand Canyon and related wildlife facilities whereas customers of the Opposer are primarily individuals who are looking to just relax in a spa and not engage in too much extracurricular activity.

FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

29. As a fifth, separate and distinct affirmative defense to the Opposition and each claim stated therein, the services provided by the Applicant and the services provided by the Opposer are so totally different that they appeal to totally different consumers who are seeking totally different experiences for their vacation and therefore, no one would confuse one organization with the other.

SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

30. As a sixth, separate and distinct affirmative defense and each claim stated therein, Applicant alleges that the Applicant's mark and Opposer's mark can peacefully coexist in the marketplace.

SEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

31. As a seventh, separate and distinct affirmative defense and each claim stated therein, Applicant alleges that the Opposition and each and every paragraph stated therein fails to state a cause of action against the Applicant.

ROZSA LAW GROUP LC ATTORNEYS AT LAW 8757 BURBANK BOULEVARD, SUHE 220 TARZANA, CALIFORNIA 91356-346 TELEPHONE (818) 783-0990

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

EIGHTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

32. As an eighth, separate and distinct affirmative defense and each claim stated therein, Applicant alleges that the Opposer has sustained no damage, injury or prejudice as a result of the Applicant's application for "GRAND CANYON RANCH AT SPIRIT MOUNTAIN".

WHEREFORE, Applicant requests that the Opposition to Service Mark

Application Serial No. 77/905,088 be denied and that Opposer take nothing by way of its

Opposition.

If there is any charge required for the filing of this Answer to Notice of Opposition, the Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks is hereby authorized to charge my Deposit Account No. 18-2222 for the appropriate fee.

Please send all correspondence concerning this Opposition to Thomas I. Rozsa, at the address listed below.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: December 29, 2011

Thomas I. Rozsa

Registration No. 29,210

Attorney for Applicant

GRAND CANYON RANCH, LLC

18757 Burbank Boulevard, Suite 220

Tarzana, California 91356-3346

Telephone: (818) 783-0990 Telecopier: (818) 783-0992

ROZSA LAW GROUP LC ATTORNEYS AT LAW 18757 BURBANK BOULFVARD, SUITE 220 TARZANA, CALLFORNIA 91556-3346 TELEPHONE (818) 783-0990

CERTIFICATE OF ELECTRONIC FILING

I hereby certify that the ANSWER TO NOTICE OF OPPOSITION,

CERTIFICATE OF ELECTRONIC FILING and CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE are

being filed electronically with the Trademark Trial and Appeal Board on December 29,

2011.

Dated: December 29, 2011

Thomas I. Rozsa
Registration No. 29,210

Attorney For Applicant

In Re Opposition No. 91202705

ROZSA LAW GROUP LC ATTORNEYS AT LAW 18757 BURBANK BOULEVARD, SUITE 220 TARZANA, CALIFORNIA 91356-3346 TELEPHONE (818) 783-0990

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a copy of the document entitled ANSWER TO NOTICE OF OPPOSITION was sent on December 29, 2011 via first class mail, postage prepaid, to the attorneys for the Opposers at the following address:

Jennifer A. Van Kirk Flavia Campbell LEWIS and ROCA LLP 40 North Central Avenue Phoenix, Arizona 85004-4429

Dated: December 29, 2011

Thomas I. Rozsa

Registration No. 29,210

In Re Opposition No. 91202705

ans.opp